COURT NOTICE (U/o 5 Rule 20 CPC) IN THE COURT OF Rajan Walia Additional District and Sessions Judge Ambala Next Date, Purpose of case, Orders and Judgments as well as other case information is available on http://ecourts.gov.in 1. Surinder Kumar Bansal S/o Sh. Jagdish Parsahad Bansal 2. Manav Kumar Bansal S/o Sh. Jagdish Parsahad Bansal 2. Manav Kumar Bansal S/o Sh. Surinder Kumar Bansal, Both R/o Kothi No. 326, Sec 7, Panchkula Vs. 1. Avtar Singh S/o Sh. Chanan Singh R/o House No. 1213/ 21 B, Chandigarh 2. Smt. Pritam Kaur since deceased daughter of Sh. Mela Singh, Wife of Sh. Joginder Sir – Now represented by her legal heirs i. Jarnail Singh – son ii. Lakhwinder Singh – son ii. Lakhwinder Kaur – daughter v. Surinder Kaur – daughter v. Surinder Kaur – daughter All residents of Village Jangu Majra, P.O. Kathe Majra, Tehsil Naraingarh, Dist. Ambala Performa Respondent Appeal u/s 96, C.P.C. against the judgment and decree dated 11.12.2019 passed by the court of Sh. Amberdeep Singh, Addl. Civil Judge, Sr. Division, Naraingarh, vide wi the Ld. Lower Court wrongly and erroneously decreed the suit of respondent no. 1 and set aside ti sale deed no. 1185 of 26.08.1996 in favour of the appellants CNR No. HRAM01-000422-2		
(U/o 5 Rule 20 CPC) IN THE COURT OF Rajan Walia Additional District and Sessions Judge Ambala Next Date, Purpose of case, Orders and Judgments as well as other case information is available on http://ecourts.gov.in 1. Surinder Kumar Bansal S/o Sh. Jagdish Parsahad Bansal 2. Manav Kumar Bansal S/o Sh. Jugdish Parsahad Bansal 2. Manav Kumar Bansal S/o Sh. Surinder Kumar Bansal, Both R/o Kothi No. 326, Sec 7, Panchkula 4. Avtar Singh S/o Sh. Chanan Singh R/o House No. 1213/21 B, Chandigarh Respond 2. Smt. Pritam Kaur since deceased daughter of Sh. Mela Singh, Wife of Sh. Joginder Sir - Now represented by her legal heirs 1. Jarnali Singh – son ii. Lakhwinder Singh – son iii. Balwinder Kaur – daughter v. Subi – daughter N. Surinder Kaur – daughter V. Babi – daughter Al residents of Viliage Jangu Majra, P.O. Kathe Majra, Tehsil Naraingarh, Dist. Ambala Performa Respondent Appeal u/s 96, C.P.C. against the judgment and decree dated 11.12.2019 passed by the court of Sh. Amberdeep Singh, Addl. Civil Judge, Sr. Division, Naraingarh, vide with the Ld. Lower Court wrongly and erroneously decreed the suit of respondent no. 1 and set aside ti sale deed no. 1185 of 26.08.1996 in favour of the appellants. CNR No. HRAM01-000422: Next Date: - 22-08-2 PUBLICATION ISSUED TO: 2 v- Babi - Daughter To, The Manager DAINIK BHASKAR ( HINDI). Whereas it has been proved to the satisfaction of the Court that the defendam(s)/respondent performa respondent ( s) above named cannot be served in the ordinary way of service. Hence proclamation under order 5 Rule 20 CPC is hereby issued against him/them and should ap personally or through their counsel on 22-08-2023 at 10:00 a.m. Take notice that, in default of his/their appearance on the day before mentioned, the above case will be heard and determined in his/their absence according to law. essions essions essions essions essions essions essions essions essions essions essions essions essions essions essions essions essions essions essions		For Uploading on Website
IN THE COURT OF Rajan Walia Additional District and Sessions Judge Ambala Next Date, Purpose of case, Orders and Judgments as well as other case information is available on http://courts.gov.in 1. Surinder Kumar Bansal S/o Sh. Jagdish Parsahad Bansal 2. Manav Kumar Bansal S/o Sh. Surinder Kumar Bansal, Both R/o Kothi No. 326, Sec 7, Panchkula 2. Manav Kumar Bansal S/o Sh. Surinder Kumar Bansal, Both R/o Kothi No. 326, Sec 7, Panchkula 4. Avtar Singh S/o Sh. Chanan Singh R/o House No. 1213/ 21 B, Chandigarh 2. Smt. Pritam Kaur since deceased daughter of Sh. Mela Singh, Wife of Sh. Joginder Sir - Now represented by her legal heirs i. Jarnail Singh – son ii. Lakhwinder Singh – son iii. Balwinder Kaur – daughter v. Surinder Kaur – daughter v. Babi – daughter All residents of Village Jangu Majra, P.O. Kathe Majra, Tehsil Naraingarh, Dist. Ambala Performa Respondent Appeal u/s 96, C.P.C. against the judgment and decree dated 11.12.2019 passed by the court of Sh. Amberdeep Singh, Addl. Civil Judge, Sr. Division, Naraingarh, vide with the Ld. Lower Court wrongly and erroneously decreed the suit of respondent no. 1 and set aside the sale deed no. 1185 of 26.08.1996 in favour of the appellants. <b>PUBLICATION ISSUED TO:</b> 2 v. Babi - Daughter To, The Manager DAINIK BHASKAR ( HINDI). Whereas it has been proved to the satisfaction of the Court that the defendant(s)/respondent performa respondent ( s) above named cannot be served in the ordinary way of service. Hence proclamation under order 5 Rule 20 CPC is hereby issued against hinvthem and should ap personally or through their counsel on 22.402.2023 at 10:00 a.m. Take notice that, in default of his/their absence according to law. Given under my hand and the seal of the Court, this 05-07-2023. Sestions and and mark mark and the seal of the Court, this 05-07-2023. Sestions and my hand and the seal of the Court, this 05-07-2023.		
Additional District and Sessions Judge Ambala         Next Date, Purpose of case, Orders and Judgments as well as other case information is available on http://ecourts.gov.in         1. Surinder Kumar Bansal S/o Sh. Jagdish Parsahad Bansal         2. Manav Kumar Bansal S/o Sh. Surinder Kumar Bansal, Both R/o Kothi No. 326, Sec 7, Panchkula         2. Manav Kumar Bansal S/o Sh. Surinder Kumar Bansal, Both R/o Kothi No. 326, Sec 7, Panchkula         Vs.         1. Avtar Singh S/o Sh. Chanan Singh R/o House No. 1213/21 B, Chandigarh         2. Smt. Pritam Kaur since deceased daughter of Sh. Mela Singh, Wife of Sh. Joginder Sir         now represented by her legal heirs         i. Jarnail Singh – son         iii Balwinder Kaur – daughter         v. Surinder Kaur – daughter         v. Surinder Kaur – daughter         v. Babi – daughtar         All residents of Village Jangu Majra, P.O. Kathe Majra, Tehsil Naraingarh, Dist. Ambala         Performa Respondent         Appeal u/s 96, C.P.C. against the judgment and decree dated 11.12.2019         passed by the court of Sh. Amberdeep Singh, Addl. Civil Judge, Sr. Division, Naraingarh, Vide with the Ld. Lower Court wrongly and erroneously decreed the suit of respondent no. 1 and set aside 1 sale deed no. 1185 of 26.08.1996 in favour of the appellants.         CINR No. HRAM01-000422:         Next Date: 22-08:         PUBLICATION ISSUED TO:         2 v Babi - Daughter <td></td> <td>(U/o 5 Rule 20 CPC)</td>		(U/o 5 Rule 20 CPC)
Next Date, Purpose of case, Orders and Judgments as well as other case information is available on http://courts.gov.in         1. Surinder Kumar Bansal S/o Sh. Jagdish Parsahad Bansal         2. Manav Kumar Bansal S/o Sh. Surinder Kumar Bansal, Both R/o Kothi No 326, Sec 7, Panchkula         2. Manav Kumar Bansal S/o Sh. Surinder Kumar Bansal, Both R/o Kothi No 326, Sec 7, Panchkula         Vs.         1. Avtar Singh S/o Sh. Chanan Singh R/o House No. 1213/ 21 B, Chandigarh         2. Smt. Pritam Kaur since deceased daughter of Sh. Mela Singh, Wife of Sh. Joginder Sire         Now represented by her legal heirs         . Jarnali Singh – son         ii. Lakhwinder Singh – son         y. Sabi – daughter         v. Sabi – daughter         v. Sabi – daughter         v. Babi – daughter         v. Babi – daughter         Appeal u/s 96, C.P.C. against the judgment and decree dated 11.12.2019         passed by the court of Sh. Amberdeep Singh, Addl. Civil Judge, Sr. Division, Naraingath, Vide with the sale deed no. 1185 of 26.08.1996 in favour of the appellants.         CNR No. HRAM01-000422:         Next Date: - 22.08-2         PUBLICATION ISSUED TO:         2 v Babi - Daughter         To,         The Manager         D		• •
<ol> <li>Surinder Kumar Bansal S/o Sh. Jagdish Parsahad Bansal</li> <li>Manav Kumar Bansal S/o Sh. Surinder Kumar Bansal, Both R/o Kothi No. 326, Sec 7, Panchkula</li> <li>Appellan</li> <li>Vs.</li> <li>Avtar Singh S/o Sh. Chanan Singh R/o House No. 1213/ 21 B, Chandigarh</li> <li>Respond</li> <li>Smt. Pritam Kaur since deceased daughter of Sh. Mela Singh, Wife of Sh. Joginder Sir</li> <li>Now represented by her legal heirs</li> <li>Jarnal Singh – son</li> <li>Lakhwinder Singh – son</li> <li>Lakhwinder Singh – son</li> <li>Surinder Kaur – daughter</li> <li>Vs. Surinder Kaur – daughter</li> <li>Surinder Kaur – daughter</li> <li>Appel u/s 96, C.P.C. against the judgment and decree dated 11.12.2019</li> <li>passed by the court of Sh. Amberdeep Singh, Addl. Civil Judge, Sr. Division, Naraingarh, vide withe Ld. Lower Court wrongly and erroneously decred the sult of respondent no. 1 and set aside the sale deed no. 1185 of 26.08.1996 in favour of the appellants.</li> <li>CNR No. HRAM01-000422 Next Date: - 22-08.2</li> <li>PUBLICATION ISSUED TO:</li> <li>2 v Babi - Daughter</li> <li>To,</li> <li>The Manager</li> <li>DAINIK BHASKAR ( HINDI).</li> <li>Whereas it has been proved to the satisfaction of the Court that the defendant(s)/responder performa respondent ( s) alove named cannot be served in the ordinary way of service. Hence proclamation under order 5 Rule 20 CPC is hereby issued against him/them and should a personally or through their counsel on 22-08-2023 at 10:00 a.m.</li> <li>Take notice that, in default of his/their absence according to law.</li> <li>Cupen under my hand and the seal of the Court, this 05-07-2023.</li> <li>Addi Barback Status Masta</li> </ol>	·	Next Date, Purpose of case, Orders and Judgments as well as other
2. Manav Kumar Bansal S/o Sh. Surinder Kumar Bansal, Both R/o Kothi No. 326, Sec 7, Panchkula Vs. 1. Avtar Singh S/o Sh. Chanan Singh R/o House No. 1213/ 21 B, Chandigarh 2. Smt. Pritam Kaur since deceased daughter of Sh. Mela Singh, Wife of Sh. Joginder Sir – Now represented by her legal heirs i. Jarmail Singh – son ii. Lakhwinder Singh – son iii. Lakhwinder Kaur – daughter V. Babi – daughter All residents of Village Jangu Majra, P.O. Kathe Majra, Tehsil Naraingarh, Dist. Ambala Performa Respondent Appeal u/s 96, C.P.C. against the judgment and decree dated 11.12.2019 passed by the court of Sh. Amberdeep Singh, Addl. Civil Judge, Sr. Division, Naraingarh, vide with the Ld. Lower Court wrongly and erroneously decreed the suit of respondent no. 1 and set aside the sale deed no. 1185 of 26.08.1996 in favour of the appellants <b>CNR No. HRAM01-000422-:</b> Next Date:- 22-08-2 <b>PUBLICATION ISSUED TO:</b> 2 v Babi - Daughter To, The Manager DAINIK BHASKAR ( HIND1). Whereas it has been proved to the satisfaction of the Court that the defendant(s)/respondent performa respondent ( s) above named cannot be served in the ordinary way of service. Hence proclamation under order 5 Rule 20 CPC is hereby issued against him/them and should ap personally or through their coursel on 22-08-2023 at 10:00 a.m. Take notice that, in default of his/their appearance on the day before mentioned, the above case will be heard and determined in his/their absence according to law. See SiONS	1. Surinder Kuma	
Panchkula Vs.  1. Avtar Singh S/o Sh. Chanan Singh R/o House No. 1213/21 B, Chandigarh Respond 2. Smt. Pritam Kaur since deceased daughter of Sh. Mela Singh, Wife of Sh. Joginder Sir - Now represented by her legal heirs . Jarnail Singh – son		
Vs.  1. Avtar Singh S/o Sh. Chanan Singh R/o House No. 1213/ 21 B, Chandigarh Respond 2. Smt. Pritam Kaur since deceased daughter of Sh. Mela Singh, Wife of Sh. Joginder Sir - Now represented by her legal heirs i. Jarnail Singh – son ii. Lakhwinder Singh – son iii. Lakhwinder Kaur – daughter V. Babi – daughter Alt residents of Village Jangu Majra, P.O. Kathe Majra, Tehsil Naraingarh, Dist. Ambala Performa Respondent Appeal v/s 96, C.P.C. against the judgment and decree dated 11.12.2019 passed by the court of Sh. Amberdeep Singh, Addl. Civil Judge, Sr. Division, Naraingarh, Vide vi the Ld. Lower Court wrongly and erroneously decreed the suit of respondent no. 1 and set aside th sale deed no. 1185 of 26.08.1996 in favour of the appellants  PUBLICATION ISSUED TO: 2 v- Babi - Daughter To, The Manager DAINIK BHASKAR ( HINDI). Whereas it has been proved to the satisfaction of the Court that the defendant(s)/respondent performa respondent ( s) above named cannot be served in the ordinary way of service. Hence proclamation under order 5 Rule 20 CPC is hereby issued against him/them and should ap personally or through their counsel on 22-08-2023 at 10:00 a.m. Take notice that, in default of his/their apperance on the day before mentioned, the above case will be heard and determined in his/their absence according to law.  Session's		Bansal S/o Sh. Surinder Kumar Bansal, Both R/o Kothi No. 326, Sec 7,
1. Avtar Singh S/o Sh. Chanan Singh R/o House No. 1213/21 B, Chandigah Respond 2. Smt. Pritam Kaur since deceased daughter of Sh. Mela Singh, Wife of Sh. Joginder Sir – Now represented by her legal heirs i. Jarnail Singh – son iii Lakhwinder Singh – son iii Balwinder Kaur – daughter v. Sabi – daughter All residents of Village Jangu Majra, P.O. Kathe Majra, Tehsil Naraingarh, Dist. Ambala Performa Respondent Appeal u/s 96, C.P.C. against the judgment and decree dated 11.12.2019 passed by the court of Sh. Amberdeep Singh, Addl. Civil Judge, Sr. Division, Naraingarh, vide wh the Ld. Lower Court wrongly and erroneously decreed the suit of respondent no. 1 and set aside the sale deed no. 1185 of 26.08.1996 in favour of the appellants. PUBLICATION ISSUED TO: 2 v Babi - Daughter To, The Manager DAINIK BHASKAR (HINDI). Whereas it has been proved to the satisfaction of the Court that the defendant(s)/respondent performa respondent ( s) above named cannot be served in the ordinary way of service. Hence proclamation under order 5 Rule 20 CPC is hreeby issued against him/them and should appersonally or through their coursel on 22-08-2023 at 10:00 a.m. Take notice that, in default of his/their appearance on the day before mentioned, the above case will be heard and determined in his/their absence according to law. Session's Session's Add Diener in Sate SEG, bates		Appellant
Respond 2. Smt. Pritam Kaur since deceased daughter of Sh. Mela Singh, Wife of Sh. Joginder Sir - Now represented by her legal heirs i. Jarnail Singh – son ii. Lakhwinder Singh – son iii. Lakhwinder Kaur – daughter v. Babi – daughter Alt residents of Village Jangu Majra, P.O. Kathe Majra, Tehsil Naraingarh, Dist. Ambala Performa Respondent Appeal u/s 96, C.P.C. against the judgment and decree dated 11.12.2019 passed by the court of Sh. Amberdeep Singh, Addl. Civil Judge, Sr. Division, Naraingarh, vide wf the Ld. Lower Court wrongly and erroneously decreed the suit of respondent no. 1 and set aside ti sale deed no. 1185 of 26.08.1996 in favour of the appellants. <b>PUBLICATION ISSUED TO:</b> 2 v- Babi - Daughter To, The Manager DAINIK BHASKAR (HINDI). Whereas it has been proved to the satisfaction of the Court that the defendant(s)/responden performa respondent (s) above named cannot be served in the ordinary way of service. Hence proclamation under order 5 Rule 20 CPC is hreby issued against him/them and should ap personally or through their counsel on 22-08-2023 at 10:00 a.m. Take notice that, in default of his/their appearance on the day before mentioned, the above case will be heard and determined in his/their absence according to law. <b>Addi District Saty 303, barea</b>	Vs.	
<ul> <li>2. Smt. Pritam Kaur since deceased daughter of Sh. Mela Singh, Wife of Sh. Joginder Sir – Now represented by her legal heirs <ul> <li>i. Jarnail Singh – son</li> <li>ii. Lakhwinder Singh – son</li> <li>iii. Balwinder Kaur – daughter</li> <li>v. Surinder Kaur – daughter</li> </ul> </li> <li>All residents of Village Jangu Majra, P.O. Kathe Majra, Tehsil Naraingarh, Dist. Ambala Performa Respondent <ul> <li>Appeal u/s 96, C.P.C. against the judgment and decree dated 11.12.2019</li> <li>passed by the court of Sh. Amberdeep Singh, Addl. Civil Judge, Sr. Division, Naraingarh, vide wh the Ld. Lower Court wrongly and erroneously decreed the suit of respondent no. 1 and set aside ti sale deed no. 1185 of 26.08.1996 in favour of the appellants.</li> </ul> </li> <li>CURR No. HRAM01-000422-7 <ul> <li>Next Date:- 22-08-7</li> </ul> </li> <li>PUBLICATION ISSUED TO: <ul> <li>2 v Babi - Daughter</li> </ul> </li> <li>To, <ul> <li>The Manager</li> <li>DAINIK BHASKAR (HINDI).</li> </ul> </li> <li>Whereas it has been proved to the satisfaction of the Court that the defendant(s)/respondent performa respondent (s) above named cannot be served in the ordinary way of service. Hence proclamation under order 5 Rule 20 CPC is hereby issued against him/them and should ap personally or through their counsel on 22-08-2023 at 10:00 a.m. <ul> <li>Take notice that, in default of his/their appearance on the day before mentioned, the above case will be heard and determined in his/their absence according to law.</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	1. Avtar Singh S/	
<ul> <li>ii. Lakhwinder Singh – son</li> <li>iii Balwinder Kaur – daughter</li> <li>iv. Surinder Kaur – daughter</li> <li>v. Babi – daughter</li> <li>All residents of Village Jangu Majra, P.O. Kathe Majra, Tehsil Naraingarh, Dist. Ambala Performa Respondent</li> <li>Appeal u/s 96, C.P.C. against the judgment and decree dated 11.12.2019</li> <li>passed by the court of Sh. Amberdeep Singh, Addl. Civil Judge, Sr. Division, Naraingarh, vide wh</li> <li>the Ld. Lower Court wrongly and erroneously decreed the suit of respondent no. 1 and set aside the sale deed no. 1185 of 26.08.1996 in favour of the appellants</li> <li>CNR No. HRAM01-000422-7</li> <li>Next Date:- 22-08-7</li> <li>PUBLICATION ISSUED TO:</li> <li>2 v Babi - Daughter</li> <li>To,</li> <li>The Manager</li> <li>DAINIK BHASKAR (HINDI).</li> <li>Whereas it has been proved to the satisfaction of the Court that the defendant(s)/respondent</li> <li>personally or through their coursel on 22-08-2023 at 10:00 a.m.</li> <li>Take notice that, in default of his/their appearance on the day before mentioned, the above case will be heard and determined in his/their absence according to law.</li> <li>Given under my hand and the seal of the Court, this 05-07-2023.</li> </ul>	- Now represented	aur since deceased daughter of Sh. Mela Singh, Wife of Sh. Joginder Sing ed by her legal heirs
<ul> <li>iii Balwinder Kaur - daughter</li> <li>iv. Surinder Kaur - daughter</li> <li>v. Babi - daughter</li> <li>All residents of Village Jangu Majra, P.O. Kathe Majra, Tehsil Naraingarh, Dist. Ambala Performa Respondent Appeal u/s 96, C.P.C. against the judgment and decree dated 11.12.2019</li> <li>passed by the court of Sh. Amberdeep Singh, Addl. Civil Judge, Sr. Division, Naraingarh, vide with the Ld. Lower Court wrongly and erroneously decreed the suit of respondent no. 1 and set aside the sale deed no. 1185 of 26.08.1996 in favour of the appellants.</li> <li>CNR No. HRAM01-000422 Next Date:- 22-08</li> <li>PUBLICATION ISSUED TO:</li> <li>2 v Babi - Daughter</li> <li>To, The Manager DAINIK BHASKAR (HINDI).</li> <li>Whereas it has been proved to the satisfaction of the Court that the defendant(s)/respondent performa respondent ( s) above named cannot be served in the ordinary way of service. Hence proclamation under order 5 Rule 20 CPC is hereby issued against him/them and should ap personally or through their counsel on 22-08-2023 at 10:00 a.m. Take notice that, in default of his/their appearance on the day before mentioned, the above case will be heard and determined in his/their absence according to law.</li> <li>Given under my hand and the seal of the Court, this 05-07-2023.</li> </ul>		
<ul> <li>iv. Surinder Kaur – daughter</li> <li>v. Babi – daughter</li> <li>All residents of Village Jangu Majra, P.O. Kathe Majra, Tehsil Naraingarh, Dist. Ambala Performa Respondent Appeal u/s 96, C.P.C. against the judgment and decree dated 11.12.2019</li> <li>passed by the court of Sh. Amberdeep Singh, Addl. Civil Judge, Sr. Division, Naraingarh, vide with the Ld. Lower Court wrongly and erroneously decreed the suit of respondent no. 1 and set aside the sale deed no. 1185 of 26.08.1996 in favour of the appellants</li> <li>CNR No. HRAM01-000422-7 Next Date:- 22-08-7</li> <li>PUBLICATION ISSUED TO:</li> <li>2 v Babi - Daughter</li> <li>To, The Manager DAINIK BHASKAR (HINDI).</li> <li>Whereas it has been proved to the satisfaction of the Court that the defendant(s)/respondent performa respondent ( s) above named cannot be served in the ordinary way of service. Hence proclamation under order 5 Rule 20 CPC is hereby issued against him/them and should ap personally or through their coursel on 22-08-2023 at 10:00 a.m. Take notice that, in default of his/their appearance on the day before mentioned, the above case will be heard and determined in his/their absence according to law.</li> <li>Given under my hand and the seal of the Court, this 05-07-2023.</li> </ul>	l l	•
v. Babi – daughter All residents of Village Jangu Majra, P.O. Kathe Majra, Tehsil Naraingarh, Dist. Ambala Performa Respondent Appeal u/s 96, C.P.C. against the judgment and decree dated 11.12.2019 passed by the court of Sh. Amberdeep Singh, Addl. Civil Judge, Sr. Division, Naraingarh, vide with the Ld. Lower Court wrongly and erroneously decreed the suit of respondent no. 1 and set aside the sale deed no. 1185 of 26.08.1996 in favour of the appellants <b>CNR No. HRAM01-000422-7</b> <b>Next Date:- 22-08-7</b> <b>PUBLICATION ISSUED TO:</b> <b>2 v Babi - Daughter</b> To, The Manager DAINIK BHASKAR (HINDI). Whereas it has been proved to the satisfaction of the Court that the defendant(s)/respondent performa respondent (s) above named cannot be served in the ordinary way of service. Hence proclamation under order 5 Rule 20 CPC is hereby issued against him/them and should appersonally or through their counsel on 22-08-2023 at 10:00 a.m. Take notice that, in default of his/their appearance on the day before mentioned, the above case will be heard and determined in his/their absence according to law. Sections with the seal of the Court, this 05-07-2023. Babi - Babi - B		•
Performa Respondent Appeal u/s 96, C.P.C. against the judgment and decree dated 11.12.2019 passed by the court of Sh. Amberdeep Singh, Addl. Civil Judge, Sr. Division, Naraingarh, vide wit the Ld. Lower Court wrongly and erroneously decreed the suit of respondent no. 1 and set aside ti sale deed no. 1185 of 26.08.1996 in favour of the appellants.  CNR No. HRAM01-000422 Next Date:- 22-08 PUBLICATION ISSUED TO: 2 v Babi - Daughter  To, The Manager DAINIK BHASKAR (HINDI). Whereas it has been proved to the satisfaction of the Court that the defendant(s)/respondent performa respondent (s) above named cannot be served in the ordinary way of service. Hence proclamation under order 5 Rule 20 CPC is hereby issued against him/them and should ap personally or through their counsel on 22-08-2023 at 10:00 a.m. Take notice that, in default of his/their appearance on the day before mentioned, the above case will be heard and determined in his/their absence according to law. Bessions Addi Disperse is basical basical		- · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
passed by the court of Sh. Amberdeep Singh, Addl. Civil Judge, Sr. Division, Naraingarh, vide with the Ld. Lower Court wrongly and erroneously decreed the suit of respondent no. 1 and set aside the sale deed no. 1185 of 26.08.1996 in favour of the appellants  CNR No. HRAM01-000422-2 Next Date:- 22-08-2  PUBLICATION ISSUED TO: 2 v Babi - Daughter  To, The Manager DAINIK BHASKAR (HINDI). Whereas it has been proved to the satisfaction of the Court that the defendant(s)/respondent performa respondent (s) above named cannot be served in the ordinary way of service. Hence proclamation under order 5 Rule 20 CPC is hereby issued against him/them and should ap personally or through their counsel on 22-08-2023 at 10:00 a.m. Take notice that, in default of his/their appearance on the day before mentioned, the above case will be heard and determined in his/their absence according to law. Bessions Add Dispress to Same Same Same Same Same Same Same Same	All residents of V	illage Jangu Majra, P.O. Kathe Majra, Tehsil Naraingarh, Dist. Ambala Performa Respondents
Next Date:- 22-08-2         PUBLICATION ISSUED TO:         2 v Babi - Daughter         To,         The Manager         DAINIK BHASKAR (HINDI).         Whereas it has been proved to the satisfaction of the Court that the defendant(s)/respondent         performa respondent (s) above named cannot be served in the ordinary way of service. Hence         proclamation under order 5 Rule 20 CPC is hereby issued against him/them and should ap         personally or through their counsel on 22-08-2023 at 10:00 a.m.         Take notice that, in default of his/their appearance on the day before mentioned, the above case will be heard and determined in his/their absence according to law.         Sessions         Given under my hand and the seal of the Court, this 05-07-2023.         Sessions         Addi Discription		
Next Date:- 22-08-2         PUBLICATION ISSUED TO:         2 v Babi - Daughter         To,         The Manager         DAINIK BHASKAR ( HINDI).         Whereas it has been proved to the satisfaction of the Court that the defendant(s)/respondent         performa respondent ( s) above named cannot be served in the ordinary way of service. Hence         proclamation under order 5 Rule 20 CPC is hereby issued against him/them and should ap         personally or through their counsel on 22-08-2023 at 10:00 a.m.         Take notice that, in default of his/their appearance on the day before mentioned, the above case will be heard and determined in his/their absence according to law.         Sessions         Given under my hand and the seal of the Court, this 05-07-2023.         Sessions         Addi District is part of the formation in the seal of the Court is the set of the court is the court i		CNR No. HRAM01-000422-20
2 v Babi - Daughter         To,         The Manager DAINIK BHASKAR (HINDI).         Whereas it has been proved to the satisfaction of the Court that the defendant(s)/respondent         performa respondent ( s) above named cannot be served in the ordinary way of service. Hence         proclamation under order 5 Rule 20 CPC is hereby issued against him/them and should appersonally or through their counsel on 22-08-2023 at 10:00 a.m.         Take notice that, in default of his/their appearance on the day before mentioned, the above case will be heard and determined in his/their absence according to law.         Given under my hand and the seal of the Court, this 05-07-2023.         Sessions         Addi Disprese is satisfied.		Next Date:- 22-08-20
To, The Manager DAINIK BHASKAR (HINDI). Whereas it has been proved to the satisfaction of the Court that the defendant(s)/responden performa respondent (s) above named cannot be served in the ordinary way of service. Hence proclamation <b>under order 5 Rule 20</b> CPC is hereby issued against him/them and should ap personally or through their counsel on <b>22-08-2023 at 10:00 a.m.</b> Take notice that, in default of his/their appearance on the day before mentioned, the above case will be heard and determined in his/their absence according to law. Given under my hand and the seal of the Court, this <b>05-07-2023</b> . Addi District & Section 1, 1970	PUBLICATION	ISSUED TO:
The Manager DAINIK BHASKAR ( HINDI). Whereas it has been proved to the satisfaction of the Court that the defendant(s)/responden performa respondent ( s) above named cannot be served in the ordinary way of service. Hence proclamation <b>under order 5 Rule 20</b> CPC is hereby issued against him/them and should ap personally or through their counsel on <b>22-08-2023 at 10:00 a.m.</b> Take notice that, in default of his/their appearance on the day before mentioned, the above case will be heard and determined in his/their absence according to law. Given under my hand and the seal of the Court, this <b>05-07-2023</b> .	2 v Ba	bi - Daughter
The Manager DAINIK BHASKAR (HINDI). Whereas it has been proved to the satisfaction of the Court that the defendant(s)/responden performa respondent (s) above named cannot be served in the ordinary way of service. Hence proclamation <b>under order 5 Rule 20</b> CPC is hereby issued against him/them and should ap personally or through their counsel on <b>22-08-2023 at 10:00 a.m.</b> Take notice that, in default of his/their appearance on the day before mentioned, the above case will be heard and determined in his/their absence according to law. Given under my hand and the seal of the Court, this <b>05-07-2023</b> .		
DAINIK BHASKAR ( HINDI). Whereas it has been proved to the satisfaction of the Court that the defendant(s)/respondent performa respondent ( s) above named cannot be served in the ordinary way of service. Hence proclamation <b>under order 5 Rule 20</b> CPC is hereby issued against him/them and should ap personally or through their counsel on <b>22-08-2023 at 10:00 a.m.</b> Take notice that, in default of his/their appearance on the day before mentioned, the above case will be heard and determined in his/their absence according to law. Given under my hand and the seal of the Court, this <b>05-07-2023</b> . Addi District is serviced with the search of the court of the co		
performa respondent (s) above named cannot be served in the ordinary way of service. Hence proclamation <b>under order 5 Rule 20</b> CPC is hereby issued against him/them and should appersonally or through their counsel on <b>22-08-2023 at 10:00 a.m.</b> Take notice that, in default of his/their appearance on the day before mentioned, the above case will be heard and determined in his/their absence according to law.	-	
proclamation <b>under order 5 Rule 20</b> CPC is hereby issued against him/them and should appersonally or through their counsel on <b>22-08-2023 at 10:00 a.m.</b> Take notice that, in default of his/their appearance on the day before mentioned, the above case will be heard and determined in his/their absence according to law.	Whereas it	has been proved to the satisfaction of the Court that the defendant(s)/respondent
proclamation <b>under order 5 Rule 20</b> CPC is hereby issued against him/them and should appersonally or through their counsel on <b>22-08-2023 at 10:00 a.m.</b> Take notice that, in default of his/their appearance on the day before mentioned, the above case will be heard and determined in his/their absence according to law.	performa respond	ent (s) above named cannot be served in the ordinary way of service. Hence,
personally or through their counsel on <b>22-08-2023 at 10:00 a.m.</b> Take notice that, in default of his/their appearance on the day before mentioned, the above case will be heard and determined in his/their absence according to law. Given under my hand and the seal of the Court, this <b>05-07-2023</b> .		
Take notice that, in default of his/their appearance on the day before mentioned, the above case will be heard and determined in his/their absence according to law.		
case will be heard and determined in his/their absence according to law. Given under my hand and the seal of the Court, this <b>05-07-2023</b> . Sessions Loo Addi District & Services Land		
Given under my hand and the seal of the Court, this <b>05-07-2023</b> .		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
Gessions Juge Addi Disincer & Services Joins		
Addi District & Services Joing	Gessions Juo	er my nand and the seal of the Court, this <b>05-07-2023.</b>
Addi District & Services Dates	1°/	
I DESCRIPTION I I MINISTRIA -		Additional District and Sessions Judge

į.