Gfar Lamba, L.

tade every broad broad of course. It is a second prove that rmq sii no valab aldaqina sahhan (2) baq naad sud aratti in disputsing the amount so as to entitle a retired employee to any interest as elauxing by him. To put it differently, if a while astape of Punjab and others workes bondents in the payment of pension or any other retiral benefit to him, the ones would be of the sidie to show that it is not guilty of any co 102 hadguA th8 lif it is unable to discharge which of the control despite directions issued by High Court—Payment of medical bills of petitioner ordered to be made as per rules within one month-Delay in payment of medical bills in a number of cases or Respondents त्यासम्भू अस्ट्रेंड्ड वर्ग रास्कृड केमारा जारांसे तामा हु की विकास है। idsensative approacht niceday of Departments readifed to Mirect द्रभारिक मेर के जिल्ला के मार्ग के किया है कि कार्य के अपने के किया है कि कार्य के किया है कि कार्य के किया कि Patiala and the Accountant Gussichqquisishmiling his viivitizmismila consider the predicament of the widow who lost her son to the cause of the life of a person such as the petitioner, convenient by way of ente reasonable or legal cause, but on account of a casual approach Delay in medical reimbursement is an arbitrary act and is required to be deprecated

(a) (b) Be that as it may it stands established that the petitioner has the petitioner has the petition of the period of the per

(5) It is not it

Po noitutitano of the counsel for the respondent State, on a structions from aumabnam to ownstan all in a so sometime of the respondent State, on a structions from aumabnam to ownstan all in we a so sometime respondent of the structions of the counsel of the country of the co

(2) It has been pleaded that the petitioner underwent kidney transplantation in the month of September, 2006. The petitioner has been declared a complicated thronic patient stiffering from schools disease/ailment. The petitioner has been declared as physically handicap to the extent of 60% by the Civil Surgeon alughiana. The handicap is to an extent that the petitioner has been recommended to stravel with an Assistant. Relevant certificate in this regard, has been placed on record as Annexure Prazi The inetitioner has justake medicines worth Rs 20,000 to 22,000 per month. The petitioner has been presenting the medical bills for reimbursement, however, the naving month is not being made by the respondents causing undue hardship. The details of the bills shave observable pages of the petition.

(9) God sent misery is, however, being intensified by the conduct gly of held sent misery is, however, being intensified by the conduct gly of held sentities and the servants and arc, therefore, arrest grivolled arther and arc, therefore, arrest grivolled arther servants and arc, therefore, arrest grivolled arther sent and arc, therefore, arrest grivolled arther sent and arc, therefore, are grived to a servant sent and arc, therefore, are sent to sent an architectual sent sent and arc, therefore are sent arrived and architectual sent sent and arc, therefore are sent arrived and architectual sent sent are sent are sent arrived and architectual sent and arc, therefore are sent arrived and architectual sent are sent are sent arrived and architectual sent are se

the petitioner in future also, in accordance with law," (VI) (VI) A number of cases of this nature are deing received in this bearing are deing received in this bearing and independent patients and insensitive.

The petition of the present petition of the petition.

- (5) It is not in dispute that the petitioner is entitled to reimbursement of money in the context of the medical bills. It is further the admitted position that the medical reimbursement has not been made within a reasonable period of time, as is also evident from the written statement.
- (6) Learned counsel for the respondent-State, on instructions from Shri Shashi Garg, Law Officer in the office of Director, Public Instructions, Secondary Education, Punjab, states that the medical bills till the period February, 2009, have been cleared and the payment made to the petitioner. Rest of the amount, as admissible under the Rules, would be released within one month from today.
- (7) In view of the statement made by the learned counsel for the respondent-State, this petition is disposed of.
- (8) Before concluding, I am constrained to observe that sequence of events of this case, as made out from the pleadings, indicates inhuman approach of the respondents. The petitioner is entitled to medical reimbursement. The petitioner is not only suffering from a chronic disease but has also undergone kidney transplant surgery, which is a major surgery. The petitioner is a handicapped person and can only manage his daily chores with the aid of an assistant.
- (9) God sent misery is, however, being intensified by the conduct of the respondents is not discharging their duties in time by delaying payment of medical bills. The officials/officers of the respondent-State are public servants and are, therefore, required to act in a manner that makes the life of a person, such as the petitioner, convenient by way of entertaining and ensuring medical reimbursement as soon as possible. It, however, seems that delay in release of payment is being caused, not for any reasonable or legal cause, but on account of a causal approach. Delay in medical reimbursement is an arbitrary act and is required to be deprecated.
- (10) A number of cases of this nature are being received in this Court wherein reimbursement of medical bills is being delayed. It is only after filing of a case that necessary steps are taken by the employer-department to release payment. Such an approach to the issue is inhuman and insensitive.

- (11) Directions are required to be issued by the Head of the Departments to the concerned officials to deal with the issue of medical reimbursement with the sensitivity, and humane approach, it deserves.
- (12) In this petition, clear directions are hereby issued to the respondents that the medical bills already presented by the petitioner be entertained and reimbursed, as per rules and instructions, within one month from today.
- (13) In future, as and when the petitioner present medical bills for treatment, the same are directed to be dealt with, as per law, within two months and reimbursed, failing which this Court shall consider the inaction on the part of the dealing official/officer as an act of wilful disobedience of direction/order of this Court amenable to action under the Contempt of Courts Act, 1971.
- (14) A copy of this order is directed to be conveyed to the Chief Secretary, Punjab.
- (15) Copy of the order be given dasti under the signatures of the Court Reader.