

**COURT NOTICE
(U/o 5 Rule 20 CPC)****IN THE COURT OF Ms.Vinti
Additional Civil Judge (Senior Division) Fatehabad****Next Date, Purpose of case, Orders and Judgments as well as other
case information is available on <http://ecourts.gov.in>****Mukesh Kumar S/o Om Parkash S/o Banwari Lal****Vs.****Tarawanti Wd/o Gobind Ram S/o Laxman Dass****CNR No. HRFT02-000928-2023****Next Date:- 05-08-2025****PUBLICATION ISSUED TO:**

Suit for declaration to the effect that on the basis of registered sale deed no. 357 dated 16.04.1981 executed and got registered by Jagdish Chand, Ramesh Chand son of Lek Raj son of Girdhari Ram, residents of Basti Bhiwan, Tehsil and District Fatehabad in favour of Om Parkash, Munshi Ram son of Banwari Lal son of Malla Ram, residents of Kheri Talwana, District Mohindergarh who were predecessor in interest of plaintiffs no. 1 to 8, the plaintiffs no. 1 to 8 being legal heirs and representatives of said Om Parkash and Munshi Ram are owners in possession of gair mumkin plot/house measuring 4 marla 8 sarsai being 1/3 share of gair mukmin plot of 14 marla 7 sarsai comprised in khasra no. 125//23/4 min (19 karam x 3 karam) measuring 6 marla 3 sarsai, 146//3/1 min (19 karam x 4 karam) measuring 8 marla 4 sarsai, situated at Basti Bhiwan, Tehsil and District Fatehabad which is shown in the revenue record as khasra nos. 125//23/4/1 (1-15) 23/4/4 (0-2), 23/4/2 (0-11), 146//3/1 (0-18), situated at Basti Bhiwan, Tehsil and District Fatehabad and the plaintiffs no. 1 to 8 have also raised their construction over said 4 marla 8 sarsai gair mumkin plot which is shown in the attached site plan as marked EFGH and are residing in it and the plaintiffs no. 1 to 8 are entitled to get their names incorporated in the revenue record as owner in possession of their said 4 marla 8 sarsai gair mumkin plot as per their respective shares after entering and sanctioning mutation in favour of said Jagdish Chand and Ramesh Chand of said 14 marla 7 sarsai area and further suit for declaration to the effect that on the basis of registered sale deed no. 903 dated 14.06.1991 executed and got registered by Raj Rani wife of Ved Rattan son of Kathu Ram resident of Fatehabad, Tehsil and District Fatehabad in favour of plaintiff no. 9 as said Raj Rani was owner in possession on the basis

of said registered sale deed no. 357 dated 16.04.1981, the plaintiff no. 9 is owner in possession of gair mumkin plot / house measuring 4 marla 8 sarsai being 1/3 share of gair mukmin plot of 14 marla 7 sarsai comprised in khasra no. 125//23/4 min (19 karam x 3 karam) measuring 6 marla 3 sarsai, 146//3/1 min (19 karam x 4 karam) measuring 8 marla 4 sarsai, situated at Basti Bhiwan, Tehsil and District Fatehabad which is now shown in the revenue record as khasra nos. 125//23/4/1 (1-15) 23/4/4 (0-2), 23/4/2 (0-11), 146//3/1 (0-18), situated at Basti Bhiwan, Tehsil and District Fatehabad and the plaintiff no. 9 has also raised her construction over her said 4 marla 8 sarsai gair mumkin plot which is shown in the attached site plan as marked IJKL and is residing in it with her family and is entitled to get her name incorporated in the revenue record as owner in possession of her said 4 marla 8 sarsai gair mumkin plot/ house after entering and sanctioning mutation in favour of said Jagdish Chand and Ramesh Chand of said 14 marla 7 sarsai area and in favour of said Rajrani and further suit for declaration to the effect that the mutation no. 8052 dated 17.03.1996 of inheritance of Gobind Ram in favour of defendants no. 1 to 3 in respect of said suit plot, further alleged sale deed no. 1198 dated 20.06.1984 and its resultant mutation no. 9891 in favour of defendants no. 5 and 6 and alleged sale deed no. 1139 dated 04.07.1986 and its resultant mutation no. 9892 in favour of defendant no. 4, further alleged sale deed no. 911 dated 04.06.2001 executed by defendant no. 4 in favour of defendants no. 8 and 9 and its resultant mutation, alleged sale deed no. 2867 dated 15.02.1999 executed by defendant no. 4 in favour of defendant no. 7 and its resultant mutation, alleged sale deed no. 2813 dated 07.10.2008 executed by defendants no. 8 and 9 in favour of defendants no. 12 to 15 and its resultant mutation, alleged sale deed no. 5966 dated 31.01.2011 executed by defendants no. 12 to 15 in favour of defendant no. 16 and its resultant mutation, alleged sale deed no. 3849 dated 10.08.2015 executed by defendant no. 16 in favour of defendant no. 14 and its resultant mutation, alleged sale deed no. 2593 dated 08.07.2016 executed by defendant no. 15 in favour of defendant no. 12 and 13 and its resultant mutation, alleged sale deed no. 2380 dated 11.10.2002 executed by defendant no. 7 in favour of defendant no. 10 and its resultant mutation and alleged sale deed no. 4831 dated 29.11.2004 executed by defendant no. 10 in favour of defendant no. 11 and its resultant mutation, alleged sale deed no. 1011 dated 19.05.2005 executed by defendant no. 11 in favour of defendants no. 8 and 9 and its resultant mutation and alleged sale deed no. 1371 dated 14.07.1997 executed by defendant no. 17 in favour of defendants no. 18 and 19 and its resultant mutation and alleged sale deed no. 11 dated 5.04.1999 executed by defendants no. 18 and 19 in favour of

defendants no. 20 and 21 and its resultant mutation in respect of said suit land are wrong, illegal, baseless, against law and facts, without title, without authority, without notice, without knowledge of plaintiffs and their predecessor in interest and as such are null and void and are liable to be set aside, further the revenue record entries in respect of said suit property showing the defendants as owner after said sale deed no.2332 dated 23.09.1980 till date are wrong, illegal, baseless, unauthorized, without title and authority and are null and void and are liable to be set aside. Further the defendants be restrained from alienating or transferring or creating any charge over the suit property in any manner, On the basis of oral as well as documentary evidence.

To,

The Manager
Bharat Desh Hamara, Patiala.

Whereas it has been proved to the satisfaction of the Court that the defendant(s)/respondent(s) above named cannot be served in the ordinary way of service. Hence, this proclamation **under order 5 Rule 20** CPC is hereby issued against him/them and should appear personally or through their counsel on **05-08-2025 at 10:00 a.m.**

Take notice that, in default of his/their appearance on the day before mentioned, the above said case will be heard and determined in his/their absence according to law.

Given under my hand and the seal of the Court, this **04-07-2025**.



Additional Civil Judge (Senior Division)
Fatehabad