


1843 24-11-22

115
30/11/22



COURT NOTICE
(U/o 5 Rule 20 CPC)

IN THE COURT OF Sh. Himanshu Singh
Additional Civil Judge (Senior Division), Sirsa

KHAN MOHAMMAD

Vs.
SANJAY

CNR No. HRSI02-001274-2018
CS/834/2018

Next Date:- 16-01-2023


PUBLICATION

Suit for declaration to the effect that plaintiff no.1 Khan Mohammad is owner in possession of land measuring 40 kanals comprised in square no. 41 killa nos. 10(5-7), 1(8-0), 2/1(8-0), square no. 42 killa no. 4/1(8-0), 5(8-0), 6/1(2-13), situated in village Livalwali, Tehsil and District Sirsa, having been allotted to him as surplus land under the provisions of the Surplus Scheme of the Haryana Government, vide mutation no.584 entered and sanctioned on 31.5.81 in favour of plaintiff no.1, on the basis of the allotment letter and that the plaintiff nos. 2 and 3 and the proforma defendants nos. 7 to 15 are owners in possession, in equal shares, of land measuring 22 kanals 02 marla comprised in square no. 32 killa no. 22(8-0), square no. 37 killa no. 10(5-2), square no. 47 killa no. 2(8-0) square no. 42 killa no.25/2(1-0), situated in village Livalwali, Tehsil and District Sirsa, having been allotted to Shri Sher Mohammad (since deceased) son of Shri Badar Din (father of plaintiff nos. 2 and 3 and proforma defendants no. 7 to 15 who are his sons and daughters), and mutation No.590 was entered and sanctioned in favour of aforesaid Shri Sher Mohammad, on the basis of the allotment letter, in respect of this land, and that the defendants nos. 1 to 4 have no concern or connection, whatsoever, with the above said land and that the impugned order dated 29.12.1989 passed by defendant no.5 showing the aforesaid allotted land of the plaintiffs in the selection list of the land of defendants nos. 1 to 4, is wrong, illegal, against law and facts and the same is the result of fraud, mis-representation, concealment of facts and collusion between the defendants and is liable to be set-aside and declared as null void, and further the other entries in the revenue record and mutation etc. showing the position contrary to the above are wrong and illegal and the same are liable to be corrected and changed in favour of the plaintiffs and that the plaintiffs are entitled to get themselves recorded as owners in possession of their aforesaid land, in the revenue record, and as a consequential relief for injunction restraining the defendants nos. 1 to 4 from interfering into the ownership and possession of the plaintiffs on the aforesaid land of the plaintiffs, till the final decision of this suit, on the basis of evidence of every kind oral and documentary.

PUBLICATION ISSUED TO:

- 1.SUSHMA(D/O LATE ASHA)W/O ASHOK PUNIA R/O CHAHAL CHOWK SRI GANGA NAGAR (RAJASTHAN).
2. NEELIMA (D/O LATE ASHA)
3. PARUL(D/O LATE ASHA) BOTH D/O SITA RAM BOTH R/O OF VILLAGE MADHOSINGHANA TEHSIL AND DISTRICT SIRSA

In above titled case, the defendant(s)/respondent(s) could not be served. It is ordered that defendant(s)/respondent(s) should appear in person or through counsel on 16-01-2023 at 10:00 a.m. For details logon to [https://highcourtchd.gov.in/?trs=district notice&district=Sirsa](https://highcourtchd.gov.in/?trs=district%20notice&district=Sirsa).



Additional Civil Judge (Senior Division)
Sirsa

Dated, this day of 24-11-2022

1843

(Not to be published in newspaper)

Forwarded to the Manager, DAINIK CHETNA, for publication at least 2 weeks before the next date of hearing.

Copy of Publication be sent to the Court of undersigned before the next date of hearing.

You are, also directed to send one set of process/ publication at the residential (above mentioned) address of respondent for the date fixed.



Additional Civil Judge (Senior Division)

Sirsa

[Handwritten signature]

1843

HRSI02-001274-2018

COURT NOTICE

IN THE COURT OF Sh. Himanshu Singh
Additional Civil Judge (Senior Division) ,Sirsa

KHAN MOHAMMAD

Vs.

SANJAY

PAYMENT ORDER

To

The Advertisement Manager,
DAINIK CHETNA.

Receipt No. 725

Amount:- RS. 2100/-.

Dated:- 24-11-2022




Additional Civil Judge (Senior Division)

Sirsa



1843

Form No. 77 For Uploading on Website

COURT NOTICE
(U/o 5 Rule 20 CPC)

IN THE COURT OF Sh. Himanshu Singh
Additional Civil Judge (Senior Division) Sirsa

Next Date, Purpose of case, Orders and Judgments as well as other
case information is available on <http://ecourts.gov.in>

KHAN MOHAMMAD

Vs.

SANJAY

PUBLICATION

CNR No. HRSI02-001274-2018

CS/834/2018

Next Date:- 16-01-2023

Suit for declaration to the effect that plaintiff no.1 Khan Mohammad is owner in possession of land measuring 40 kanals comprised in square no. 41 killa nos. 10(5-7), 1(8-0), 2/1(8-0), square no. 42 killa no. 4/1(8-0), 5(8-0), 6/1(2-13), situated in village Livalwali, Tehsil and District Sirsa, having been allotted to him as surplus land under the provisions of the Surplus Scheme of the Haryana Government, vide mutation no.584 entered and sanctioned on 31.5.81 in favour of plaintiff no.1, on the basis of the allotment letter and that the plaintiff nos. 2 and 3 and the proforma defendants nos. 7 to 15 are owners in possession, in equal shares, of land measuring 22 kanals 02 marla comprised in square no. 32 killa no. 22(8-0), square no. 37 killa no. 10(5-2), square no. 47 killa no. 2(8-0) square no. 42 killa no.25/2(1-0), situated in village Livalwali, Tehsil and District Sirsa, having been allotted to Shri Sher Mohammad (since deceased) son of Shri Badar Din (father of plaintiff nos. 2 and 3 and proforma defendants no. 7 to 15 who are his sons and daughters), and mutation No.590 was entered and sanctioned in favour of aforesaid Shri Sher Mohammad, on the basis of the allotment letter, in respect of this land, and that the defendants nos. 1 to 4 have no concern or connection, whatsoever, with the above said land and that the impugned order dated 29.12.1989 passed by defendant no.5 showing the aforesaid allotted land of the plaintiffs in the selection list of the land of defendants nos. 1 to 4, is wrong, illegal, against law and facts and the same is the result of fraud, mis-representation, concealment of facts and collusion between the defendants and is liable to be set-aside and declared as null void, and further the other entries in the revenue record and mutation etc. showing the position contrary to the above are wrong and illegal and the same are liable to be corrected and changed in favour of the plaintiffs and that the plaintiffs are entitled to get themselves recorded as owners in possession of their aforesaid land, in the revenue record, and as a consequential relief for injunction restraining the defendants nos. 1 to 4 from interfering into the ownership and possession of the plaintiffs on the aforesaid land of the plaintiffs, till the final decision of this suit, on the basis of evidence of every kind oral and documentary.

PUBLICATION ISSUED TO:

- 1.SUSHMA(D/O LATE ASHA)W/O ASHOK PUNIA R/O CHAHAL CHOWK SRI GANGA NAGAR (RAJASTHAN).
- 2. NEELIMA (D/O LATE ASHA)
- 3. PARUL(D/O LATE ASHA) BOTH D/O SITA RAM BOTH R/O OF VILLAGE MADHOSINGHANA TEHSIL AND DISTRICT SIRSA

To,

The Manager
DAINIK CHETNA.

1843

Whereas it has been proved to the satisfaction of the Court that the defendant(s)/respondent(s) above named cannot be served in the ordinary way of service. Hence, this proclamation **under order 5 Rule 20 CPC** is hereby issued against him/them and should appear personally or through their counsel on **16-01-2023 at 10:00 a.m.**

Take notice that, in default of his/their appearance on the day before mentioned, the above said case will be heard and determined in his/their absence according to law.

Given under my hand and the seal of the Court, this **24-11-2022**.

NO.725 DATED:- 24-11-2022



Additional Civil Judge (Senior Division)

Sirsa

B