


12  
20/3/2025

Form No. 77	<u>For Uploading on Website</u>
	<b>COURT NOTICE</b> <b>(U/o 5 Rule 20 CPC)</b> <b>IN THE COURT OF Sh. Munish Nagar</b> <b>Additional Civil Judge (Senior Division) Sirsa</b> <b>Next Date, Purpose of case, Orders and Judgments as well as other case information is available on <a href="http://ecourts.gov.in">http://ecourts.gov.in</a></b>
<b>ROSHNI</b> <b>Vs.</b> <b>OM PARKASH</b>  <b>CIS NO. : CS-380-2020</b> <span style="float: right;"><b>CNR No. HRSI02-000462-2020</b></span> <span style="float: right;"><b>Next Date:- 09-05-2025</b></span>  <p>Suit for declaration to the effect that the plaintiffs and the proforma defendants are owners in possession, in equal shares, of land measuring 1 kanal 5 marlas 3 sarsai, out of land measuring 91 kanals 3 marls comprised in khewat no 93 Khatuni no 154, as per the Jamabandi 1987-88 (new khewat no 192 khatuni no 240 as per the jamabandi 2012-2013 read with mutation no 3411 and 3782 (as per the latest Jamabandi 2017-2018 the area being 83 kanals 04 marlas, in khewat no 192 khatuni no 240) situated in village Panniwal Mota Sirsa nad the entries in the revenue record showing the position contrarary to the above are wrong and illegal and are laible to be corrected and changed accordingly in favor of the plaitntiffs and the proforma defendants and this area is to be completed in the name of the plaintiffs and the proforma defendants by taking the area to the extent of 1 kanals 6 marlas out of the land owned and possessed by the defendants no 1 to 6 as at the time of acquisition of the land vide mutation no 2152 dated 3/7/1987 regarding acquisition of land measuring 3 kanals 11 marlas and vide mutation no 3357 dated 12/3/2015 regarding acquisition of land measuring 7 kanals 16 marlas out of aforesaid total land and in this way the acquired land ought to have been deducted equally from the share of aforesaid Nikku Ram and aforesaid Sahi Ram, i.e. 144 share each, but Nikku Ram was given 148 share i.e. 4 share in excess, wrongly and illegally and similar entries were made in the Jamabandi 1992-93 and in the Jamabandi prepatad thereafter, hence the revenue record is liable to be corrected and changed in favor of the plaintiffs and the proforma defendants accordingly, and for Mandatory injunction directing the defendants nos 7 to 9 to make necessary correction in the revenue record relating to the above said land, in the name of the plaintiffs and the proforma defendants accordingly, as stated above, and as a consequential relief for injunction restraining the defendants nos 1 to 6 from selling or alienating the land mentioned above to any one and from creating any-type of bar, encumbrance or charge etc on this land mentioned above to any one and from creating any type of bar, encumbrance or charge etc. on this land, taking undue advantage of the wrong entries in the revenue record, till the final decision of this suit, or for grant of any other relief to the plaintiffs which the Honble court deems just and proper in the circumstances of the case in hand, on the basis of evidence of every kind oral and documentary.</p> <p><b>PUBLICATION ISSUED TO:</b> <b>D4 - Dheerpal and D5 – Veerpal sons of Ashok Kumar son of Nohar Chand,</b> <b>resident of Village Panniwala Mota, Sirsa</b></p> <p>To,</p> <p>The Manager Dainik Chetna.</p> <p>Whereas It has been proved to the satisfaction of the Court that the defendant(s)/respondent(s) above named cannot be served in the ordinary way of service. Hence,</p>	

this proclamation under order 5 Rule 20 CPC is hereby issued against him/them and should appear personally or through their counsel on 09-05-2025 at 10:00 a.m.

Take notice that, in default of his/their appearance on the day before mentioned, the above said case will be heard and determined in his/their absence according to law.

Given under my hand and the seal of the Court, this 18-03-2025.



**Munish Nagar**  
**Additional Civil Judge (Senior Division)**  
Sirsa